

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	T		L. ETTO TANK DO GWETTANO	GOVERNA (A TROVI NO	
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/309,831	05/11/1999	THOMAS C. MIELENHAUSEN	90021-3 -8013		
32300 7	590 03/06/2003				
BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
2400 IDS CENTER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			HUYNH, CONG LÁC T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2178		
	DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/309,831		MIELENHAUSEN, THOMAS C.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	•	Cong-Lac Huynh		2178				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app				dress			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1)	Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 December 2002</u> .							
2a)⊠	·	is action is non-fin	al.					
3)□								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requiren	nent.					
	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		_		-			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 🗆	Interview Summary (I Notice of Informal Pa Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/309,831 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: amendment filed on 12/26/02 to the application filed on 5/11/99.

2. Claims 1-22 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 15, 17 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-22 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu et al. (US Pat No. 5,410,475, 4/25/95, filed 4/19/93).

Regarding independent claim 1 and its dependent 9-10, Lu discloses:

- selecting a word in the text to be converted to an abbreviation and converting the selected word to a corresponding abbreviation (figure 2, #210-220; figures 3A, 3B; col 1, lines 21-27, 49-68)
- selecting an abbreviation in the text to be converted to a word and converting the abbreviation to a word (col 1, lines 60-68, col 6, line 14 to col 8, lines 1-49)

 Lu does not disclose storing in the memory a first data encoding a plurality of words and corresponding abbreviations and a second data structure encoding a plurality of abbreviations and corresponding words, displaying a list of suggested words and

Art Unit: 2178

abbreviations corresponding to the selected word and abbreviation, and receiving input from the user to choose the desired word or abbreviation.

Instead, Lu discloses the text in the memory containing a list of words and correspondent abbreviations (col 5, lines 20-68; col 6, line 14 to col 8, lines 1-49, the list of words and the corresponding abbreviations is stored in the memory as data for performing the conversion function).

It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill at the time of the invention was made to have modified Lu to include storing in the memory a first data encoding a plurality of words and corresponding abbreviations and a second data structure encoding a plurality of abbreviations and corresponding words for the following reason.

Lu teaching of lists of words and phrases and corresponding abbreviations suggests

storing in the memory two different data structures for two different lists of words and abbreviations. Also, it was well known in the art that once said lists are in the memory, a user can display the lists for manipulating including selecting an item in the list.

Regarding claims 2 and 16, Lu discloses editing, updating and customizing the data structures which are words and corresponding abbreviations (col 3, lines 17-36; col 5, lines 20-68).

Regarding claims 3-6, Lu does not disclose that the word or an abbreviation is selected by a user using a keyboard command or using a mouse. However, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill at the time of the invention was made to have included these

Art Unit: 2178

features in Lu since it was well known in the art that any computer system comprises a keyboard and a mouse for user interaction performance such as selecting an item in a document.

Regarding claims 7-8, 11-12, Lu discloses:

- parsing the text for words and phrases to be converted to abbreviations (figure 2, #216; col 4, lines 20-60; figure 3A, steps 313, 315, 317, 316, 323, 318, 319, 326; figure 3B, steps 327, 330, 333, 332)
- a list of abbreviations and corresponding words or phrases (col 6, line 17 to col 8, lines 1-49)

Lu does not disclose scanning text for abbreviations to be converted to corresponding words, displaying a list of suggested abbreviations corresponding to the selected words and receiving input from the user to choose the desired abbreviation and vice versa. However, as seen in Lu, the purpose of said parsing is to trace the tokens to get the abbreviation of a word or a phrase, which is considered equivalent to scanning the text. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill at the time of the invention was made to have applied Lu to have the scanning text for abbreviations to be converted to corresponding words and to generate a list of words or phrases and corresponding abbreviations. Also, it was well known in the art to display the list of abbreviations and corresponding words or phrases for user selecting once the lists are stored in the memory.

Regarding claims 13-14, Lu discloses selecting an abbreviation in the text to be

Art Unit: 2178

converted (col 1, lines 49-67, figure 2; col 4, lines 20-59, selecting "IBM" for "International Business Machine"; figure 3B, #332). Lu does not disclose inserting the abbreviation into the text at a position selected by the user.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Lu to include inserting the abbreviation into the text at a position selected by the user since it was well known in the art to use the cut/copy and paste method or the drag-and-drop method for inserting a selected data into the text at a position selected by the user.

Independent claim 15 includes the same limitations as in claims 1, 9-10, and 13-14, and is rejected under the same rationale.

Independent claim 17 and its dependent claim 22 are for a data processing apparatus for performing the method claims 1, 9-10, and 13, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Claims 18-22 are for a data processing apparatus of method claims 7-8, 11-12, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2178

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/26/02 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Applicants argue that Lu only works in the direction of converting a word to an

abbreviation. Lu does not disclose converting an abbreviation to a word.

Examiner disagrees.

Lu discloses converting a word to an abbreviation (figure 2, #210-220; col 1, lines 21-

27, 49-68). Lu also discloses converting an abbreviation to corresponding words (col 1,

lines 60-68; col 6, line 14 to col 8, lines 1-49).

Applicants also argue that since Lu only teaches the list of phrases and corresponding

abbreviations, it is impermissible to modify Lu to include the feature "storing in the

memory a first data encoding a plurality of words and corresponding abbreviations and

a second data structure encoding a plurality of abbreviations and corresponding words."

Examiner disagrees.

Lu discloses a plurality of words and phrases and corresponding abbreviations (figure 2,

#210-220; col 1, lines 21-27, 49-68). This inherently shows that the plurality of phrases

and corresponding abbreviations should be stored in the memory for any later use

.

Art Unit: 2178

including selecting one of the words and corresponding abbreviation.

Lu also discloses the abbreviations and the corresponding phrases (col 1, lines 60-68; col 6, line 14 to col 8, lines 1-49). Likewise, this inherently shows that the abbreviations and the corresponding phrases should be stored in the memory for *any later use* including selecting an abbreviation and the corresponding phrase.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cong-Lac Huynh whose telephone number is 703-305-0432. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30-6:00).

Art Unit: 2178

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 703-308-5186. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 707-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9000.

clh 2/27/03

HEATHER R. HERNDON
HEATHER R. HERNDON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TOWNOLOGY CENTER 2100